

Present: Anju Bhatt (AB), Charlie Legg (CL), Barry Peskin (BP), Pat Stack (PS), Peter Ward (PW)

Also present: Donna Turnbull (DT) & Linda Fergusson (LF)

1	Apologies Apologies were received from Nasim Ali, Ruth Appleton, Simone Hensby, Shelagh O'Connor	Action
2.	<p>LSP Papers DT took members through the LSP papers:</p> <p>a) LSP Terms of Reference (Item 2) Main changes are the additional people who will sit on the LSP especially the Link members from the Strategic Partnerships. Also LSP business which has changed due to changes in the LAA</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • AB suggested that 1.4 should perhaps mention sub regional as well as regional • LAA Steering Group is not mentioned in the ToR – we need to ask what is its future role within all the processes - performance management, monitoring delivery against the Community Strategy, - ensuring that this all happens within the context of the Compact for Camden <p>b) Camden's LAA (Item 3a & 3b) Discussion on this focused on Sustainable Camden that Adapts to Growing Population and the apparent focus on housing with little mention of the infrastructure that accompanies this – schools, GPs, shops etc. There was also some deliberation about Camden's definition of affordable housing and whether this meant social housing (as in Council and/or Housing Association premises for those in lower paid jobs/unemployed) Members suggested that it should read: affordable, social and accessible housing</p> <p>Other comments were about the Delivery Plan form which should have something about Compact way of working. PS suggested that the plans should be available electronically alongside the Community Strategy on the Council website</p> <p>c) Community Strategy – Protecting the Environment sub theme (Item 4) This paper was favourably received. PS did raise the question of recycling. Some parts of Camden do not get recycling collections and many elderly and/or disabled people are unable to carry bags of items for recycling. DISC has approached Camden about this but they are not considering offering special collections for these client groups. He also raised the point that there is not enough promotion to encourage people to recycle.</p>	<p>AB to raise at LSP on 27/03/08</p> <p>CL to question the definition of affordable housing at the LSP on 27/03/08</p> <p>AB to raise at LSP on 27/03/08</p> <p>PS to raise this at the LSP on 27/03/08</p>

	<p><u>d) Comprehensive Area Assessment (Item 5)</u> DT explained that The CAA will be formally adopted in 2009. The approach will be developed and introduced over the next 12 months. The CAA replaces Joint Area Review, Comprehensive Performance Assessment etc. it will comprise of three elements assessed annually – Risk, Use of Resources and Direction of Travel.</p> <p><u>e) Report on LSP Seminars (Item 6a)</u> There was a general discussion on this. CL informed members that Canteloves Area Forum participants had discussed adopting a Parish Council model and he wondered whether the forums were intended to be open to such broad interpretation</p> <p>Issues to raise were:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Clarification of future structure of Area Forums in relation to Parish Council idea in Canteloves ward • Level of analysis of delivery of Community Strategy that gets down to Area Forum (neighbourhood level) • Working group on community engagement should involve LSP partners (including VCS) <p><u>f) Notes on Social Cohesion Forum (Item 6b)</u> AB informed members that notes did not reflect that there was a lot of discussion around single issue groups and a general agreement that this should be looked at in future meetings.</p> <p><u>g) Minutes of last meeting (Item 7)</u> item 2.5 BP felt that 'slightly concerned' did not adequately reflect what he said at the meeting and that the minutes should be altered to say 'very concerned'.</p>	<p>CL to raise this on BP's behalf</p>
<p>3.</p>	<p><u>CEN Papers</u></p> <p><u>a) Minutes & Matters Arising</u> Minutes were agreed</p> <p><u>Matters Arising</u> CL requested a detailed workplan – DT informed members that she had taken a general workplan to Mary who was still looking at it. DT will meet with council policy officers to discuss reporting on the Community Strategy Themes. DT will then be in a better position to draft one detailed work plan from the draft papers DT and LF have already put together for separate bits of work.</p> <p>The first report will be on Community Safety in Kings Cross area. DT was interested in learning what members felt the CCEN could contribute to this report? Did they know of any specific projects we should contact? Suggestions included the CBME Alliance 3rd Party Reporting Project and the LGBT 3rd Party Reporting Project</p>	<p>DT to bring workplan to next CCEN Exec Board meeting (30 April)</p>

